D&D RFP Questions (143 – 147)

143. In the answer to Question 51, the last sentence states that the K.6(c) certification does not apply to the DOE-provided cost values in Section L.19(j). The revised Reps and Certs form that was recently issued did not make the change to paragraph K.6(c).

Response: No changes are required. DOE understands that DOE provided costs and cost assumptions were not independently and fully derived from the offeror's own estimating methods.

144. The answers to Questions 37, 38, and 39 state that RFP Attachment L-7 will be amended to update the L-7 Cost Worksheets. This has not yet been incorporated by amendment.

Response: Amendment 3 incorporated the changes to Section L, Attachment L-7 Cost Worksheets.

145. The answer to Question 120 states, "a. Attachment L-10 will be revised to direct the Offerors to use the specific cited reference documents to develop the cost for C.2.5.4.1 and C.2.5.4.2." Amendment 3 only addressed C.2.5.4.2 and did not include C.2.5.4.1.

Response: Amendment 4 addressed C.2.5.4.1.

146. H.40 SITE INVESTIGATION AND CONDITIONS AFFECTING WORK is clearly based on FAR 52.236-3, which per FAR 36.503 is for fixed price contracts. For this cost reimbursable contract, we assume that the term "without additional expense to the Government" in Article H.40 means without expenses in addition to allowable costs under Clause 52.216-7, and specifically, without an increase in the fee pool.

Response: The assumption is correct.

147. A) From the overall period of performance beginning October 1, 2011 (we note that Amendment 3 changed this date in Section L, but not in Section F), we understand the time period between the Beginning of OSWDF Operations and the end of the Option contract period to be a total of six years and nine months (Fiscal Years 2015 thru 2020 and nine months in Fiscal Year 2014). From this, we would expect there to be a total of seven annual provided costs where DOE has only provided six.

B) We are unsure of the reasoning behind the value in "Year 6". We believe that the value in "Year 5" is lower than the annual costs discussed in "Final Cost Estimate Report for the Onsite Waste Disposal Facility at the Portsmouth Gaseous Diffusion Plan Decontamination and Decommissioning Project Scenarios I, II, III, IV, VI, and VIII, August 31, 2006" because it is only for 9 months of the twelve month period. The value in "Year 6" seems to be reduced by the same factor, even though we believe it to represent an entire twelve month period of OSWDF Operations. Please verify that the value in "Year 6" represents an entire twelve month period of OSWDF Operations.

Response: A) Section F will be revised to be consistent. Section L, Attachment L-10 will be revised by Amendment 5 to reflect 7 years operation costs (the first year includes 3 months of ORR and 9 months of operations). Also see Q&A 141.

<u>PWS</u>	Year 1	Year 2	Year 3	<u>*Year 4</u>	Year 5	Year 6
C.2.5.4.3 (car	erations p and <u>Begin r</u> sure)	eceiving waste	<u>Jan. 2014</u>	<u>\$10,501,529</u>	<u>\$10,816,575</u>	<u>\$17,985,951</u>

<u>PWS</u>		Year 7	Year 8	Year 9	<u>Year 10</u>	<u>Total</u>
C.2.5.4.3	(cap and	\$18,525,529	<u>\$19,081,296</u>	<u>\$19,653,734</u>	<u>\$20,243,346</u>	<u>\$116,807,960</u>
	<u>closure)</u>					

^{*}Includes 3 months for ORR and 9 months of operations.

B) Based on the revised chart shown above, Years 4 and 5 include cost for Operations only. Years 6 through Year 10 include operation costs plus additional costs for closure activities.